For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EOD THE MODTHEDM DISTRICT OF CALLEODMIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	RONALD CHARLES DENISON JR., No. C 16-00432 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	CITI FINANCIAL SERVICING LLC;
14	DOES 1-5,
15	Defendants/
16	
17	In this Fair Credit Reporting Act action, defendant Citi Financial Servicing, LLC filed a
18	motion to dismiss on February 22. Pro se plaintiff Ronald Denison's response was due on
19	March 7, but no response has been received. By NOON ON MARCH 28, 2016 , plaintiff is hereby
20	ordered to show cause, in writing, why he failed to file a timely opposition. By the same date,
21	plaintiff must also file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of
22	non-opposition. Failure to respond, or to show sufficient cause as to why plaintiff did not file a
23	timely opposition, may result in dismissal of the case for failure to prosecute.
24	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 14, 2016.

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE